PENNSTATE SET: Clone Detection in Sensor Networks

Heesook Choi, Sencun Zhu, Thomas F. La Porta

NSRC, Computer Science & Engineering Department

Contact: hchoi@cse.psu.edu

Sensors may be deployed in hostile environments. If an adversary captures a node, they may be able to access the private information within the node. The adversary then can clone these sensors and deploy them in the network to launch a variety of attacks. In this paper, we propose a new scheme, called SET, to detect such cloning attacks. Exclusive subsets are reliably constructed, and the intersection and union of these subsets are calculated to detect the existence of node duplication.

## **Motivations**

#### What makes it easy to clone sensors?

- Unattended deployment and management
- Easy to capture physically
- Available general sensors (Cheap)
- Not equipped with tamper-resistant hardware



All CLONES are under the control of an adversary

# **SET Model**



## $S_1 \cap S_2 \cap S_3 \cap S_4 \cap S_5 = \emptyset$ ?

### Construct a set of random subsets

- BS broadcasts a seed
- exclusive subsets based on MIS
- Construct multiple sub-trees
- Compute the union and intersection of subsets

## Interleaved set computation



## Construction of subsets and sub-trees

d= 4 (22) 20

#### Construct EXCLU **SIVE** subsets

(ESMIS) Each node computes H<sub>1</sub> = H(seed, ID<sub>i</sub>) for itself and neighbors in transmission range □ A node having maximum H<sub>1</sub> becomes SLDR Other nodes, but SLDRs, are ruled by a SLDR A set of SLDRs is a Maximal Independent Set (MIS)



□ A SLDR which has at least one member in [H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>+B] becomes a root

B is a parameter to control the number of subtress in the network

Each sub-tree covers an exclusive area (a)

- subset of nodes, without overlapping) Set union and intersection are computed in
- each sub-tress

Each root sends the set result to the BS

Individual Node Report Individual Subset Report

1500 2000 2500 Number of nodes in the network

N\*SORT(N

1000

# Security & Overhead



 $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$ 3

> 2 ٦

[6,3,1]

(5)

[6,4]

#### Effectiveness of Adversary

ss of Adversary		Message Transmission Overhead	
	Schemes	Communication Cost	
	Broadcast	O(N2)	
	Randomized Multicast	O(N2)	
	Line-Selected Multicast	O(N√N)	
	Individual Node Report	O(N√N)	
	Individual Subset Report	O((N/2)√N)	
	SET	O(N)	

Communication Cost Comparison

Sponsored by NSF